

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,366	07/01/2003	Tang-Wei Kuo	GP-303271	3176
7590 09/13/2004			EXAMINER	
KATHRYN A. MARRA			SOLIS, ERICK R	
General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21 P.O. Box 300 Detroit, MI 48265-3000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
:	10/611,366	KUO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Erick R Solis	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frow the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a 	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin						
	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica ority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/09/2003. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/611,366

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-6 ,10-18,22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneko et al (US Patent No. 6062189). See Figs. 6A and 7B. See also the abstract, col. 9, lines 45+, col. 11, lines 1-21, col. 14, lines 1-17 and lines 37-48. Note Fig. 7B which shows the first injection occurring in the first half of the intake stroke, i.e. within 90 degrees of after exhaust TDC. Note that based on col. 14, lines 1-17, the amount of fuel during intake amounts to the range of 10-40 percent of the total. Note also the EGR system (29,30). Inherently, during the intake stroke a vacuum (sub-atmospheric pressure) condition occurs in the combustion chamber unless this were a supercharged engine which it does not appear to be.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,366

Art Unit: 3747

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 7-9,19-21 and 26-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneko et al as applied to claims 1 and 18 above, and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art at paragraph 9 of applicant's specification. Kaneko et al teach an external EGR system, however, Kaneko et al do not teach internal EGR using any of the claimed and known techniques. At paragraph 9 of applicant's specification, applicant concedes that it is known to trap or re-breathe in some of the combustion gases within the cylinder of the engine by varying valve timing. It would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have substituted internal EGR as already

Application/Control Number: 10/611,366

Art Unit: 3747

known from applicant's paragraph 9 for Kaneko et al's external EGR since this would have been an obvious substitution of obvious equivalents.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erick R. Solis whose telephone number is (703) 308-2651. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Erick R. Solis
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3747

ers September 8, 2004